**FILED** 

## NOT FOR PUBLICATION

OCT 07 2009

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

## FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

JAMES CATO, JR.,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

T. AVILA; et al.,

Defendants - Appellees.

No. 08-17185

D.C. No. 1:06-CV-01781-OWW-DLB

MEMORANDUM\*

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California Oliver W. Wanger, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted September 14, 2009\*\*

Before: SILVERMAN, RAWLINSON, and CLIFTON, Circuit Judges.

California state prisoner James Cato, Jr. appeals pro se from the district court's judgment dismissing his 42 U.S.C. § 1983 action, without prejudice, for failure to exhaust administrative remedies as required by the Prison Litigation

<sup>\*</sup> This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

Reform Act, 42 U.S.C. § 1997e(a). We have jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1291. We review de novo the district court's application of substantive law and review for clear error the district court's factual determinations. *Wyatt v. Terhune*, 315 F.3d 1108, 1117 (9th Cir. 2003). We affirm.

The district court properly dismissed the action because Cato did not properly exhaust administrative remedies before filing his complaint in federal court. *See Woodford v. Ngo*, 548 U.S. 81, 90-91 (2006) (explaining that "proper exhaustion" requires adherence to administrative procedural rules).

Cato's remaining contentions are unpersuasive.

AFFIRMED.